

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,370	11/12/2003	George J. Tarulis	CCK-0145	6383
759	90 08/28/2006		EXAMINER	
KNOBLE & YOSHIDA, LLC			BRADEN, SHAWN M	
Eight Penn Cent Suite 1350	er		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1628 John F. Kennedy Blvd.			3727	
Philadelphia, PA 19103			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		YF	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/706,370	TARULIS, GEORGE J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shawn M. Braden	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	<u>5/14/2006</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	_		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in Arriority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/09/2004. 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/706,370

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 6-21 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 06/14/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolt (USPN 3,360,157) in view of Saunders (USPN 3,934,527) and Swalheim (USPN 3,468,769).

With respect to claim 1,Bolt discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Bolt shows a bottom (42); a sidewall (7) integral with said bottom, said sidewall comprising a steel substrate (6), a first coating (7a) comprising tin on an outer surface thereof and a second coating (7b) comprising tin on an inner surface thereof, the sidewall further comprising no additional protective coating on the second coating; wherein said sidewall is fabricated by a drawn wall ironing process from a tin coated steel substrate.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,370

Art Unit: 3727

However Bolt does not disclose the second coating having a mass per unit area of at least .15 pounds of tin per base box. Bolt discloses the tin is 45 micro inches (col. 3 ln. 4) but the Examiner is not sure how to convert the units.

Swalheim teaches steel with tin coatings that a range in thickness form .15-.75 pounds per base box are well know (col. 4 ln. 15-20).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a tin thickness greater than .15 pounds per base box as taught by Swalheim to make tin coatings on the container of Bolt for the purpose of complete coverage of the surface to protect against corrosion.

However Bolt does not disclose a top end secured to said sidewall.

Saunders teaches a top end secured to the sidewall in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of closing the container.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to close the end of Bolt's container with the end of Saunder's in order to keep in freshness.

With respect to claims 2&3, to the extent the claim can be understood, the above combination teaches a range of thicknesses that range up to .75 pounds per base box.

With respect to claim 4, to the same extent as applicant claims, Bolt further shows the second coating is thicker than said first coating (col. 5 ln. 66 – col. 6 ln. 2).

With respect to claim 5, bolt further discloses the second coating is unbreached (col. 6 ln. 20).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,370

Art Unit: 3727

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn M. Braden whose telephone number is (571)272-8026. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on 571 272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

smb

NATION J. NEWHOUSE JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER